



**PLANNING COMMISSION TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE
MEETING
AUGUST 3, 2015
MINUTES**

**Note: All amendments may be viewed online at:
<http://www.sanantonio.gov/DSD/Resources/Codes.aspx#154541587-unified-development-code>**

PRELIMINARY ITEMS

1. 1:30 P.M. – Call to Order
2. Roll Call

Members Present:

George Peck
Gene Dawson
Kent Brittain
Francine Romero
Bradley Peel
Steven Grau
George McNair
Michael Moore
Bob Liesman
Robert Hanley
Dan Kossl
Rob Leonhard

City Staff:

Rod Sanchez, DSD
John Jacks, DSD
Tony Felts, DSD
Melissa Ramirez, DSD
Mark Bird, DSD
Joseph DeCenzo, CAO
Catherine Hernandez, DSD
Luz Gonzales, DSD
Richard Chamberlin, DSD
Donna Camacho, DSD
Kathy Rodriguez, OHP
Larry Odis, DSD
Pablo Martinez, DSD

Members Absent:

Susan Wright
Jody Sherrill
Alan Neff
Mary Luna
Phillip Manna
Cullen Coltrane
Grace Garza
Roger Martinez

Others:

Robert Brach, Bexar County
David Arciniega, Parks

OLD BUSINESS

3. Consideration and recommendation to the Planning Commission of the following proposed Unified Development Code (UDC) amendments submitted by City of San Antonio, Governmental Agency, or Board/Commission in accordance with Section 35-111 of the UDC:

A.	A:84	28-14	35A-101	Tony Felts/ Catherine Hernandez	DSD
----	------	-------	---------	------------------------------------	-----

The TAC Committee consensus was to recommend approval of the amendment as presented.

NEW BUSINESS

4. Consideration and recommendation to the Planning Commission of the following proposed Unified Development Code (UDC) amendments submitted by City of San Antonio, Governmental Agency, or Board/Commission in accordance with Section 35-111 of the UDC:

A.	V:125-126	28-118	35-515(c)(4)	Pablo Martinez	DSD
----	-----------	--------	--------------	----------------	-----

This amendment was postponed until it could be reviewed further by staff. However, Gene Dawson did express concerns with the proposed language referencing the limit of 1 or 2 lots.

B.	II:6-7	14-1	35-202	Bob Brach	Bexar Co.
----	--------	------	--------	-----------	-----------

The TAC Committee consensus was to Rewrite the amendment and bring it back to the Committee for consideration.

C.	III:198.3	14-3	35-344(a)	Bob Brach	Bexar Co.
----	-----------	------	-----------	-----------	-----------

The TAC Committee consensus was to recommend approval of the amendment as presented.

D.	V:6	14-4	35-501(g)	Bob Brach	Bexar Co.
----	-----	------	-----------	-----------	-----------

The TAC Committee consensus was to recommend approval of the amendment as presented.

E.	V:138	28-53	35-523(e)(1)C	Mark Bird/Justin Krobot	DSD
----	-------	-------	---------------	-------------------------	-----

The TAC Committee consensus was to re-write the amendment and bring back to the Committee for consideration.

F.	V:139-140	28-56	35-523(f)(2)A	Mark Bird/Justin Krobot	DSD
----	-----------	-------	---------------	-------------------------	-----

The TAC Committee consensus was to recommend approval of the amendment as presented.

G.	V:147	28-72	35-523(i)(11)	Mark Bird/Justin Krobot	DSD
----	-------	-------	---------------	-------------------------	-----

The TAC Committee consensus was to recommend approval of the amendment as presented.

H.	V:147-148	28-73	35-523(j)(1)	Mark Bird/Justin Krobot	DSD
----	-----------	-------	--------------	-------------------------	-----

The TAC Committee consensus was to recommend approval of the amendment as presented.

I.	V:150	28-77	35-523(l)	Mark Bird/Justin Krobot	DSD
----	-------	-------	-----------	-------------------------	-----

This item was inadvertently added to the agenda and is a duplicate of an item already recommended for approval by the TAC at the July 27, 2015 meeting. No action was taken on this item.

J.	V:150.3- 150.4	28-78	35-523(o)(3) 35-523(q)(3)	Mark Bird/Justin Krobot	DSD
----	-------------------	-------	------------------------------	-------------------------	-----

The TAC Committee consensus was to recommend approval of the amendment as presented.

K.	V:108	28-62	35-510(a)(1)D	Mark Bird/Justin Krobot	DSD
----	-------	-------	---------------	-------------------------	-----

The TAC Committee consensus was to recommend approval of the amendment as presented.

L.	V:116	28-64	35-511(a)(1)D	Mark Bird/Justin Krobot	DSD
----	-------	-------	---------------	-------------------------	-----

The TAC Committee consensus was to recommend approval of the amendment as presented.

M.	B:117	28-63	35-511(c)(2)B	Mark Bird/Justin Krobot	DSD
----	-------	-------	---------------	-------------------------	-----

The TAC Committee consensus was to recommend approval of the amendment as presented.

N.	B:62	28-67	35-B124(b)(3) 35-B124(b)(4)	Mark Bird/Justin Krobot	DSD
----	------	-------	--------------------------------	-------------------------	-----

The TAC Committee consensus was to recommend approval of the amendments as presented.

O.	III:200	28-8	35-344(e)(1)	Richard Chamberlin	DSD
----	---------	------	--------------	--------------------	-----

The TAC Committee consensus was to recommend approval of the amendment as presented.

P.	III:198.2-2 IV:19-20	28-95	35-344 35-413	Catherine Hernandez	DSD
----	-------------------------	-------	------------------	---------------------	-----

The TAC Committee consensus was to re-write the amendment and bring back to the Committee for consideration.

Q.	IV:20-23 IV:25-26 IV:28	28-102	35-420 35-421	Catherine Hernandez	DSD
----	-------------------------------	--------	------------------	---------------------	-----

The TAC Committee consensus was to recommend approval of the amendment with minor changes. *** In section 35-420 (a)(3) bold the heading Perimeter Plans also in section 35-420 (a)(4) bold the heading Sector Plans. In section 35-420 (f)(6)(C) change the word "had" to held to read "It is further provided that no application for the amendment of any lot, lots or block of land situated in the city shall be received or filed with the planning commission of the city and no hearing held thereon,..."

R.	IV:95-98	24-2	35-679(d)	Kathy Rodriguez/ Cory Edwards	OHP
----	----------	------	-----------	----------------------------------	-----

The TAC Committee consensus was to recommend approval of the amendment as presented.

S.	IV:67-68	24-6	35-451(d)(1) and (2)	Kathy Rodriguez/ Cory Edwards	OHP
----	----------	------	-------------------------	----------------------------------	-----

The TAC Committee consensus was to re-write the amendment and bring back to the Committee for consideration.

T.	IV:71	24-7	35-455(d)(2)	Kathy Rodriguez/ Cory Edwards	OHP
----	-------	------	--------------	----------------------------------	-----

The TAC Committee consensus was to recommend approval of the amendment with minor changes. *** Remove the strikethrough of “after receipt of the completed application” and add a period after application to complete the sentence. Add a period to break up a sentence to read “The historic preservation officer shall retain a written statement summarizing the reasons for their determination. Add “as followed by the City Clerk’s office” to read “For such period as required under applicable record retention laws as followed by the City Clerk’s office.”

U.	IV:68.1	24-18	35-452(d)	Kathy Rodriguez/ Cory Edwards	OHP
----	---------	-------	-----------	----------------------------------	-----

The TAC Committee consensus was to recommend approval of the amendment as presented.

V.	VI:65	24-13	35-672(a)(1)A	Kathy Rodriguez/ Cory Edwards	OHP
----	-------	-------	---------------	----------------------------------	-----

The TAC Committee consensus was to recommend approval of the amendment as presented.

W.	VI:98-99	24-20	35-680(a)	Kathy Rodriguez/ Cory Edwards	OHP
----	----------	-------	-----------	----------------------------------	-----

The TAC Committee consensus was to recommend approval of the amendment as presented.

X.	A:87	24-16	35A-101	Kathy Rodriguez/ Cory Edwards	OHP
----	------	-------	---------	----------------------------------	-----

The TAC Committee consensus was to recommend approval of the amendment with minor changes. *** Remove the words “approximately” and “or” and remove the strikethrough from “equal to” to read “Certified improvements to a historic building in which the cost of the project is equal to greater than 30 percent ...”

Y.	III:205	29-8	35-345(e)(1)	Susan Guinn/ Joseph DeCenzo	CAO
----	---------	------	--------------	--------------------------------	-----

The TAC Committee consensus was to recommend approval of the amendment with minor changes *** Add the word “be” to read “If it is determined by the director of development services that the revised submittal is considered a minor change then said submittal shall be processed...” Add the word “being” to read “Major amendments to an MPCD site plan constitutes a new project with respect to the area of the project that is being modified.

Z.	III:9	29-9	35-306	Susan Guinn/ Joseph DeCenzo	CAO
----	-------	------	--------	--------------------------------	-----

The TAC Committee consensus was to re-write the amendment and bring back to the Committee for consideration.

AA.	IV:58-60	29-14	35-437	Susan Guinn/ Joseph DeCenzo	CAO
-----	----------	-------	--------	--------------------------------	-----

The TAC Committee consensus was to defer action for further review and bring back to the Committee for consideration.

BB.	IV:62	29-15	35-440	Susan Guinn/ Joseph DeCenzo	CAO
-----	-------	-------	--------	--------------------------------	-----

The TAC Committee consensus was to recommend approval of the amendment as presented.

CC.	IV:83	29-16	35-483(g)	Susan Guinn/ Joseph DeCenzo	CAO
-----	-------	-------	-----------	--------------------------------	-----

The TAC Committee consensus was to recommend approval of the amendment as presented.

DD.	V:6-7	29-17	35-501(h)	Susan Guinn/ Joseph DeCenzo	CAO
-----	-------	-------	-----------	--------------------------------	-----

The TAC Committee consensus was to recommend approval of the amendment with changes Add "or a form acceptable to the County within the ETJ" in section 35-501(h)(2)(B) to read "The bond shall be in the form shown in Appendix B121, section (f), subsection(8), Form H or a form acceptable to the County within the ETJ."

EE.	VIII:9-10	29-18	35-807	Susan Guinn/ Joseph DeCenzo	CAO
-----	-----------	-------	--------	--------------------------------	-----

This amendment was withdrawn from consideration by the City Attorney's Office.

FF.	VIII:10-11	29-19	35-808	Susan Guinn/ Joseph DeCenzo	CAO
-----	------------	-------	--------	--------------------------------	-----

This amendment was withdrawn from consideration by the City Attorney's Office.

The TAC Committee consensus was to recommend approval of the amendment with minor changes. *** Add the word "in" to the definition of Infrastructure expenses to read" ...(if such costs actually paid exceed or are necessary but not included in infrastructure costs..."

OTHER ITEMS

5. Approval of the minutes from the July 20, 2015, and July 27, 2015 meetings.

The motion was made by Mr. Hanley and seconded by Mr. McNair to approve the minutes of the July 20, 2015 meeting as presented. Motion carried.

The motion was made by Mr. Hanley and seconded by Mr. McNair to approve the minutes of the July 27, 2015 meeting as presented. Motion carried.

6. ADJOURN

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned at 4:10 p.m.

APPROVED:



George Peck, Vice -Chair